

Notice: This decision is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

<hr/>)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	
PHILLIP BISHOP,)	
Employee)	OEA Matter No. 2401-0243-11
)	
v.)	Date of Issuance: February 27, 2012
)	
METROPOLITAN POLICE)	
DEPARTMENT,)	
Agency)	ERIC T. ROBINSON, ESQ.
<hr/>)	Senior Administrative Judge
Phillip Bishop, Employee <i>Pro-Se</i>		
Teresa Q. Hyden, Esq., Agency Representative		

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On September 29 2011, Phillip Bishop (“Employee”) filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or “the Office”) contesting the Metropolitan Police Department’s (“Agency”) action of removing him from service through a reduction in force. I was assigned this matter on or around February 27, 2012. On February 22, 2012, Employee submitted a letter requesting that this matter be withdrawn. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should this matter be dismissed?

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

The Employee has voluntarily withdrawn his petition for appeal. Pursuant to this withdrawal, I conclude that this matter may now be dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that this matter be DISMISSED.

FOR THE OFFICE:

ERIC T. ROBINSON ESQ.
Senior Administrative Judge